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#### Abstract

We begin classification of prime knots in the thickened torus of genus 2 having diagrams with at most 4 crossings. To this end, it is enough to construct a table of prime knot projections with at most 4 crossings, and use the table to obtain table of prime diagrams, i. e. table of prime knots. In this paper, we present the result of the first step, i. e. we construct a table of prime projections of knots in the thickened torus of genus 2 having at most 4 crossings. First, we introduce definition of prime projection of a knot in the thickened torus of genus 2. Second, we construct a table of prime projections of knots in the thickened torus of genus 2 having at most 4 crossings. To this end, we enumerate graphs of special type and consider all possible embeddings of the graphs into the torus of genus 2 that lead to prime projections. In order to simplify enumeration of the embeddings, we prove some auxiliary statements. Finally, we prove that all obtained projections are inequivalent. Several known and new tricks allow us to keep the process within reasonable limits and rigorously theoretically prove the completeness of the constructed table.
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## Introduction

One of the main problems of the knot theory is to find an algorithm to recognize a knot (or link), i. e., to provide the studied object with a unique identifier. For instance, the identifier can be given by a catalog number. This approach involves the problem to construct complete tables of knots and links arranged with respect to some their numerical characteristics. Many researchers worked in this aria during last 150 years. Most of the constructed tables consider knots and links in the 3-dimensional sphere, see [1-3]. Recently, increasing interest in the theory of global knots (i. e., knots in arbitrary 3-manifolds) leads to tabulation of knots in manifolds different from the 3-dimensional sphere. Note tables of links in the projective space [4], knots in the solid torus [5], knots in the thickened Klein bottle [6], as well as prime knots in the lens spaces [7]. Note that, in the knot theory, recent tables includes only the so-called prime objects, which can not be obtained by some known operations from already tabulated objects. Virtual knots and knots in the thickened surfaces have been of particular interest in the last 20 years. Therefore, some tables of such knots were also constructed. In particular, the works [8] and [9] present perfect tables of virtual knots arranged with respect to number of classical crossing and construct a list of some properties of each knot. However, these tables are constructed without taking into account primeness and such important property of a knot as the genus determined by the minimal genus of the thickened surface which can contain the given knot. The natural idea is to classify virtual knots taking into account both parameters, i. e. not only number of classical crossings, but also the genus of a knot, see the papers $[10,11]$ for tables of prime knots and links in the thickened torus. In a sense, such tables can be considered as tables of prime virtual knots and links of genus 1.

We begin classification of prime knots in the thickened torus of genus 2. To this end, in this paper, we present the result of the first step, i. e. we construct a table of prime projections of knots in the thickened torus of genus 2 having at most 4 crossings. Our main result states that there exist exactly 14 pairwise inequivalent such projections. Further, we intend to use the obtained table of prime projections in order to construct table of prime diagrams, i. e. table of prime knots.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 gives required definitions and the main result of the paper. Section 2 describes main ideas of the tabulation of prime projections of knots in the thickened torus of genus 2 .

## 1. Main Result

A direct product of two copies of an 1-dimensional sphere $S^{1}$ is called a 2-dimensional torus $T=S^{1} \times S^{1}$. Further, for shortness, we refer to a 2-dimensional torus $T$ as a torus $T$. Fig. 1, $a$ shows an example of a torus $T$ endowed with a pair "meridian-longitude" of $T$.


Fig. 1. (a) A torus $T$ endowed with a pair "meridian-longitude", (b) a torus $T^{o}$ with a hole and a disk $\mathbf{D}$, (c) a 2-dimensional torus $T_{2}$ of genus 2 formed by a connected sum of two copies of a torus $T^{a}$ with a hole

A surface $F^{o}$ with a hole is obtained from the original surface $F$ by removing the interior of a 2dimensional disk $D$. Further, for shortness, we refer to a 2 -dimensional disk $D$ as a disk $D$. Fig. 1, $b$ shows an example: a torus $T^{o}$ with a hole is obtained from a torus $T$ by removing the interior of a disk $D$. Hereinafter, we write ${ }^{o}$ to show that a surface has one hole, ${ }^{o o}$ to show that a surface has two holes, etc.

By a 2-dimensional torus $T_{2}$ of genus 2 we mean a surface formed by a sum of two copies of a 2dimensional torus $T^{o}$ with a hole constructed by identifying (gluing together) their holes, see Fig. 1, $c$. Here each torus $T^{o}$ is called a handle of a 2-dimensional torus $T_{2}$ of genus 2. Further, for shortness, we refer to a 2-dimensional torus $T_{2}$ of genus 2 as a torus $T_{2}$.

Let us define types of simple closed circles, which can be considered in a torus $T_{2}$.
A simple closed circle $C \subset T_{2}$ is said to be cut, if the complement $T_{2} \backslash C$ consists of two components.
In the torus $T_{2}$, a cut circle $C$ can be either trivial, i. e. bounding a disk $D$, or nontrivial. In the first


Fig. 2. Examples of circles in the torus $T_{2}$ case, the complement $T_{2} \backslash C$ is formed by a disk $D$ and a torus $T_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ with a hole. In the second case, the complement $T_{2} \backslash C$ is formed by two copies of a torus $T^{o}$ with a hole.

A simple closed circle $C \subset T_{2}$ is said to be noncut, if the complement $T_{2} \backslash C$ consists of the unique component. Namely, the complement $T_{2} \backslash C$ is a torus $T^{o o}$ with two holes.

Two noncut simple closed circles $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset$ $T_{2}$ are said to be parallel to each other, if the complement $T_{2} \backslash\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right)$ consists of two components, which are a torus $T^{o o}$ with two holes and an annulus $A$, i. e. a 2-dimensional sphere $S^{o o}$ with two holes.

Fig. 2 shows examples: $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset T_{2}$ are two noncut circles parallel to each other, while $C_{3}, C_{4} \subset T_{2}$ are nontrivial and trivial cut circles, respectively.

Consider a torus $T_{2}$ and an interval $I=[0,1]$. By a thickened torus of genus 2 we mean a 3dimensional manifold homeomorphic to the direct product $T_{2} \times I$.

A smooth embedding of the set of $m$ pairwise disjoint circles in the interior $\operatorname{Int}\left(T_{2} \times I\right)$ of the thickened torus $T_{2} \times I$ is called an $m$-component link in $T_{2} \times I$. In particular, if $m=1$, we have a smooth embedding of the unique circle in $\operatorname{Int}\left(T_{2} \times I\right)$, which is called a knot in $T_{2} \times I$ and denoted by $K \subset T_{2} \times I$.

As in the classical case, knots in the thickened torus $T_{2} \times I$ can be given by their diagrams, which are defined by analogy with a classical knot diagram except that a knot is projected into the torus $T_{2}$ instead of a 2-dimensional sphere $S^{2}$.

A projection of a knot $K$ in the torus $T_{2}$ is a diagram of $K$ such that the crossings of the diagram contain no under/over-crossing information. Therefore, a projection can be considered as an embedding of a connected regular graph of degree 4 , i. e. valence of each vertex of the graph is equal to 4 . Vertices of $G$ are called crossings of $G$, while connected components of the complement $T_{2} \backslash G$ are called faces of $G$.

Two projections $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ in the torus $T_{2}$ are said to be equivalent, if there exists a homeomorphism $f: T_{2} \rightarrow T_{2}$ such that $f(G)=G^{\prime}$.

We say that an intersection point $P$ of two circles $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset T_{2}$ is nontransversal, if only two of four angles near $P$ are formed by both circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, while the third and the forth angles are formed only
by the circle $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively. Otherwise, i. e. if all four angles near $P$ are formed by both circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, the intersection point $P$ is called transversal.

We define the following three types of projections in the torus $T_{2}$.

1. The projection $G$ is called essential, if each face of $G$ is homeomorphic to a disk $D$.
2. The projection $G$ is called composite, if at least one of the following conditions holds.
(a) There exists a disk $D \subset T_{2}$ such that the boundary $\partial D$ intersects $G$ transversally exactly in two points, which are internal for two distinct edges of $G$, and at least one vertex of $G$ is inside $D$.
(b) There exist two parallel noncut simple closed circles $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset T_{2}$, and two distinct edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ of $G$ such that for $i=1,2$ the circle $C_{i}$ intersects the edge $e_{i}$ transversally at exactly one internal point, and both surfaces (a torus $T^{o o}$ with two holes and an annulus $A$ ) to which the circles divide the torus $T_{2}$ contain vertices of $G$.
(c) There exists nontrivial cut simple closed circle $C$ and two distinct edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ of $G$ such that for $i=1,2$ the circle $C$ intersects the edge $e_{i}$ transversally at exactly one internal point, and both surfaces (two copies of a torus $T^{o}$ with a hole) to which the circle $C$ divide the torus $T_{2}$ contain vertices of $G$.
3. The projection $G$ is called prime, if $G$ is essential and noncomposite.

Our table contains only prime projections. Indeed, nonessential projections correspond to knots that can be found in already existing tables of knots in the 3-dimensional sphere $S^{3}[1-3]$, thickened annulus $A \times I$ (solid torus) [5], or thickened torus $T \times I$ [10]. In its turn, composite projections correspond to knots, which can be constructed using already known knots mentioned above. Namely, composite projections of types (a)-(c) correspond to knots, which can be constructed as sums of a classical knot and a knot in the thickened torus $T_{2} \times I$, a knot in the thickened torus $T_{2} \times I$ and a knot in the thickened torus $T \times I$, or two knots in the thickened torus $T \times I$, respectively.

Theorem 1. In the torus $T_{2}$, there exist exactly 14 pairwise inequivalent prime projections with at most 4 crossings. The projections are given in Fig. 5.

Theorem 1 is proved by three steps described in Section 2.

## 2. Proof of the main result

Let us describe main ideas of the tabulation of prime projections given in this section. We do this in three steps. First, Subsection 2.1 enumerates graphs of special type. Then, Subsection 2.2 considers all possible embeddings of the graphs into the torus $T_{2}$ giving prime projections. Finally, Subsection 2.3 proves that all constructed projections are pairwise inequivalent.

### 2.1. Enumeration of graphs with at most 4 vertices whose embeddings into the torus $\boldsymbol{T}_{2}$ can be prime projections

Lemma 1. If a projection $G \subset T_{2}$ is prime, then $G$ is connected and contains no loop nor any cut pair of edges (i. e., removing the pair of edges gives a disconnected graph).

Proof of Lemma 1 is similar to arguments used to prove Lemma 2 in [11].
Lemma 2. Let $G \subset T_{2}$ be a prime projection with $n$ crossings, then $G$ contains exactly ( $n-2$ ) faces.
Proof. Take into account the Euler characteristic of the torus $T_{2}$ and the fact that $G$ is essential.
Lemma 3. There exist exactly 3 graphs with at most 4 vertices whose embeddings into the torus $T_{2}$ can be prime projections, see graphs $a-c$ given in Fig. 3.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2, it is easy to see that any graph which embedding into the torus $T_{2}$ gives a prime projection contains at least 3 vertices. Lemma 1 gives conditions on an abstract quadrivalent graph, which embedding into the torus $T_{2}$ gives a prime


Fig. 3. The graphs of special type projection. All graphs with at most 4 vertices satisfying the first and second conditions are enumerated in [10]. In this list, there are exactly 3 graphs satisfying the third condition, see graphs $a-c$ given in Fig. 3.

### 2.2. Construction of prime projections

Lemma 4. All projections shown in Fig. 5 can be obtained as embeddings of the graphs $a-c$ given in Fig. 3. Namely, the graph $a$ gives the projection $3_{1}$, the graph $b$ gives the projections $4_{1}$ and $4_{6}$, and the graph $c$ gives the projections $4_{2}-4_{5}$ and $4_{7}-4_{13}$.

Proof. We construct all the projections by the following method [11].
Let $G \subset T_{2}$ be a prime projection represented as a union $U$ of the circles $C_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, having $k$ nontransversal points.

## Математика

Let $l_{1}, l_{2}$ be small arcs containing a nontransversal point of the projection $G$. We can remove the point by the move $M$ shown in Fig. 4. The dashed arc $\beta$ shows how to perform the inverse move $M^{-1}$.

Remove each nontransversal point of the projection $G$ by the move $M$. The obtained union $U^{k}$ of the same circles $C_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ contains only transversal points and is endowed with $k$ dashed arcs $\beta$ to show where the move $M$ was performed. Of course, the initial projection $G$ can be obtained from $U^{k}$ by the inverse move $M^{-1}$ performed along each dashed $\operatorname{arc} \beta$, see Fig. 4.


Fig. 4. Move $M$ removes a nontransversal point, while $M^{-1}$ is performed along the dashed arc $\beta$ and creates the point

According to Lemma 3, all prime projections in the torus $T_{2}$ with at most 4 crossings can be obtained as embeddings of the graphs $a-c$. In order to construct all the projections, we represent an embedding of each graph as a union of a number of circles and enumerate all possible combinations of types of circles and intersection points.

Let us give three obvious statements, which allow to reduce such enumeration.
Lemma 5. Let $G \subset T_{2}$ be a prime projection represented as a union of circles with $n$ intersection points. Then
(i) this union contains no more than ( $n-3$ ) cut circles,
(ii) for $n \leq 4$, all cut circles are trivial.

Proof. Statement (i) is true according to Lemma 2 and the fact that each cut circle involves an additional face. If Statement (ii) is not satisfied, then $G$ is either a link projection, or a nonessential projection. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. Let $G \subset T_{2}$ be a prime projection represented as a union $U$ of circles, and $C \subset U$ be a circle having exactly two intersection points with other circles embedded in the torus $T_{2}$. Then both points are nontransversal, if $C$ is cut, and at least one of two points is nontransversal, if $C$ is noncut.

Proof. If both intersection points are transversal, then $C$ forms a projection of a component of a link, while we consider only projections of knots. If $C$ is cut, then both intersection points are either transversal, or nontransversal. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

Lemma 7. Let $G \subset \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ be a prime knot projection obtained from the union $U^{k}$, which is endowed with $k$ dashed arcs $\beta$. Then the following conditions hold.
(i) The union of $U^{k}$ and all $k$ dashed arcs $\beta$ divide the torus $T_{2}$ into disks.
(ii) For any two circles $C_{1}, C_{2} \subset U^{k}$, there exists a sequence of dashed arcs $\beta$ and other circles that connect $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.

Proof. If Condition (i) is not satisfied, then the projection $G$ is nonessential, and we arrive at contradiction with the fact that the projection $G$ is prime. If Condition (ii) is not satisfied, then $G$ is a projection of a link, while $G$ is a knot projection. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

Let us enumerate all possible embeddings of the graphs $a-c$ giving prime projections.
Graph $a$. Let the projection $G$ be an embedding of the graph $a$ in the torus $T_{2}$. The pairs of double edges form three circles in the torus $T_{2}$ such that each circle has exactly one intersection point with each of two other circles. According to Lemma 5, all circles are noncut. Note that there exists at most 1 transversal intersection point, otherwise there exists a circle with two transversal intersection points and we arrive at contradiction with Lemma 6.

Case 1. If all intersection points are nontransversal, then we remove all the points by the move $M$ and see that the three circles without common points divide the torus $T_{2}$ into more than one part, i. e. we arrive at contradiction with Lemma 2.

Case 2. If exactly one of three intersection points is transversal, then, without loss of generality, we consider the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be a pair "meridian-longitude" of one of the handles of the torus $T_{2}$, and the circle $C_{3}$ to be a meridian of another handle. We remove both nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the three circles to obtain a sphere $S^{o o o}$ with three holes. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect each of two holes corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ with the hole formed by the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ under the condition that there exists exactly one endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Graph $b$. Let the projection $G$ be an embedding of the graph $b$ in the torus $T_{2}$. The pairs of double edges form four circles in the torus $T_{2}$ such that each circle has exactly one intersection point with each of the two other circles and does not intersect the fourth circle. Note that there exist at most 2 transversal intersection points (moreover, each circle contains no more than one transversal intersection point), otherwise there exists a circle with two transversal intersection points and we arrive at contradiction with Lemma 6.

Case 1. Suppose that all four intersection points are nontransversal.
Remove all the points by the move $M$ and see that the four circles without common points divide the torus $T_{2}$ into more than two parts, i. e. we arrive at contradiction with Lemma 2.

Case 2. Suppose that exactly one of four intersection points is transversal.
According to Lemma 5, there exists at most one cut circle, moreover, this circle is trivial. Therefore, without loss of generality, we consider the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be a pair "meridian-longitude" of one of the handles of the torus $T_{2}$, and the circle $C_{3}$ to be a meridian of another handle, while the circle $C_{4}$ can be either cut or noncut. We remove all three nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the four circles.

Case 2.1. If the circle $C_{4}$ is cut, then we obtain a sphere $S^{\text {oooo }}$ with four holes. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw three dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect one of two holes corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ and the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{4}$ with the hole formed by the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ and to connect another hole corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ with the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{4}$ under the condition that there exists exactly one endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed $\operatorname{arc} \beta$ and obtain the projection $\mathbf{4}_{1}$.

Case 2.2. If the circle $C_{4}$ is noncut, then we obtain a sphere $S^{o o o}$ with three holes and an annulus $A$. In $S^{\text {ooo }}$, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ and the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{4}$ with the hole formed by the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ under the condition that there exists exactly one endpoint of a dashed $\operatorname{arc} \beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2$. In $A$, by virtue of Lemma 7 , there exists the unique way to draw a dashed arc $\beta$ such that to connect the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ and the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{4}$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection $4_{6}$.

Case 3. Suppose that exactly two of four intersection points are transversal.
According to Lemma 6, these transversal points belong to different pairs of circles. Therefore, without loss of generality, we consider the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be a pair "meridian-longitude" of one of the handles of the torus $T_{2}$, while the circles $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ form a pair "meridian-longitude" of another handle. We remove both nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the four circles to obtain an annulus $A$. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exists no ways to draw two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect two holes under the condition that there exist exactly one endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$.

Graph $c$. Let the projection $G$ be an embedding of the graph $c$ in the torus $T_{2}$, then $G$ can be represented as a union of three circles such that the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ have no common points, while the circle $C_{3}$ intersects each of them alternately. Further, without loss of generality, we consider the circle $C_{1}$ to be a representative of the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Note that there exist at most 2 transversal intersection points (moreover, each of the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ contains no more than one transversal intersection point), otherwise there exists a circle with two transversal intersection points and we arrive at contradiction with Lemma 6. Also, according to Lemma 5, there exists at most one cut circle, moreover, this circle is trivial.

Case 1. Suppose that all intersection points are nontransversal.
Case 1.1. Suppose that there exists no cut circles and consider all possible cases of parallel circles.
If there are no parallel circles, then we remove all the nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the three circles to obtain two copies of a sphere $S^{o 00}$ with three holes. In each $S^{o o o}$, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ with each of the other holes. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed $\operatorname{arc} \beta$ and obtain the projection $\mathbf{4}_{12}$.

If the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are parallel to each other, then they bound an annulus $A$ without crossings, therefore, the projection $G$ is nonessential, and, therefore, $G$ is nonprime.

## Математика

If the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ are parallel to each other, then we remove all the nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the three circles to obtain a sphere $S^{000}$ with three holes and an annulus $A$. In $S^{o o o}$, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw three dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{3}$ with each of the other holes. In $A$, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw a dashed arc $\beta$ such that to connect two holes. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection $\mathbf{4}_{10}$.

Case 1.2. Suppose that there exists a cut circle and remove all the points by the move $M$ to obtain a sphere $S^{\text {ooooo }}$ with five holes.

If the cut circle is $C_{1}$, then, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw four dashed $\operatorname{arcs} \beta$ such that to connect each of two holes corresponded to the circle $C_{2}$ with the corresponded hole formed by the circle $C_{3}$ and to connect the hole formed by the circle $C_{1}$ with both holes formed by the circle $C_{3}$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection $4_{3}$.

If the cut circle is $C_{3}$, then, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw four dashed $\operatorname{arcs} \beta$ such that to connect each of holes corresponded to the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with the hole formed by the circle $C_{3}$ alternately. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain a projection of a link.

Case 2. Suppose that exactly one of four intersection points is transversal. Without loss of generality, we consider the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ to be a pair "meridian-longitude" of one of the handles of the torus $T_{2}$, while the circle $C_{2}$ can be either cut or noncut. We remove all three nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all the three circles.

If the circle $C_{2}$ is cut, then we obtain a torus $T^{o o}$ with two holes. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to draw three dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect twice the hole corresponded to the circle $C_{2}$ with the hole formed by the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$, and the last hole with itself. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection $\mathbf{4}_{2}$.

If the circle $C_{2}$ is noncut, then, without loss of generality, we consider the circle $C_{4}$ to be a meridian of another handle of the torus $T_{2}$, and obtain a sphere $S^{o o o}$ with three holes. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exist two ways to draw three dashed arcs $\beta$ such that to connect each of the holes corresponded to the circle $C_{2}$ and with the hole formed by the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$, and the last hole with itself alternately. Indeed, the third possible way leads to a link projection. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed $\operatorname{arc} \beta$ and obtain the projections $4_{5}$ and $\mathbf{4}_{8}$.

Case 3. Suppose that exactly two of four intersection points are transversal.
According to Lemma 6 , each of the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ contains exactly one transversal point, therefore, both the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are noncut. The circle $C_{3}$ is also noncut, since the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ do not intersect each other and the circle $C_{3}$ has exactly one transversal point with the circle $C_{i}$, where $i=1,2$.

Case 3.1. Suppose that the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are parallel to each other. Without loss of generality, we consider the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be two meridians of one of the handles of the torus $T_{2}$, while the circle $C_{3}$ is a longitude of the same handle. We remove both nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all three circles to obtain a torus $T^{o}$ with a hole and a disk $D$. In $D$, there exists no dashed $\operatorname{arcs} \beta$, otherwise we obtain either a link projection, or a nonessential (therefore, nonprime) knot projection. In $T^{o}$, by virtue of Lemma 7, there exists the unique way to connect the hole with itself by two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that there exist exactly one endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2$, and two endpoints of different dashed arcs $\beta$ on the circle $C_{3}$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projection 49 .

Case 3.2. Suppose that the circles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are not parallel to each other. Without loss of generality, we consider the circle $C_{i}$ to be a meridian of the $i$-th handle of the torus $T_{2}$, where $i=1,2$, while the circle $C_{3}$ is a connected sum of two longitudes of both handles. We remove both nontransversal points by the move $M$ and cut the torus $T_{2}$ along all three circles to obtain a sphere $S^{\circ o}$ with two holes. By virtue of Lemma 7, there exist exactly four possible ways to connect the two holes by two dashed arcs $\beta$ such that there exist exactly one endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$ on each circle $C_{i}, i=1,2$, and two endpoints of different dashed arcs $\beta$ on the circle $C_{3}$. These four ways are different in the sense of the following two facts. First, either there exists a dashed arc $\beta$ connecting a hole with itself, or both dashed arcs $\beta$ connect different holes. Second, either there exists a fragment of the circle $C_{3}$ having both endpoints of different dashed arcs $\beta$, or there exist two fragments of the circle $C_{3}$ that belong to the same hole, and each frag-
ment contains an endpoint of a dashed arc $\beta$. Apply the inverse move $M^{-1}$ along each dashed arc $\beta$ and obtain the projections $\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{4}_{7}, \mathbf{4}_{11}$, and $\mathbf{4}_{13}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.


Fig. 5. Prime knot projections in the torus $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ with at most 4 crossings

### 3.3. Proof of the fact that all constructed projections are pairwise inequivalent

Lemma 8. All 14 projections given in Fig. 5 are pairwise inequivalent.
Proof. We associate each face of a projection with a natural number, which is equal to the number of edges which form the boundary of the face. Each face of a prime projection is homeomorphic to a disk. According to Lemma 2, the number of faces of each projection given in Fig. 5 is equal to 2 with the exclusion of the projection $\mathbf{3}_{1}$.

Associate each projection (except for the projection $\mathbf{3}_{1}$ ) given in Fig. 5 with an ordered set $\left\{i_{1} i_{2} x\right\}$, where $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ are natural numbers, which are associated with faces of the projection and taking in non-

## Математика

decreasing order, and $x$ is the graph such that the projection is an embedding of $x$ in the torus $T_{2}$, $x \subset\{b, c\}$. By analogy, the projection $\mathbf{3}_{1}$ is associated with the ordered set $\{12 a\}$.

Such ordered sets are enough to prove that all projections given in Fig. 5 are pairwise inequivalent, with the exception of the following 4 pairs: $\left(4_{2}, 4_{3}\right),\left(4_{4}, 4_{5}\right),\left(4_{9}, 4_{10}\right)$, and $\left(4_{12}, 4_{13}\right)$.

Let us prove that projections in each of the pairs are also inequivalent. We say that an edge $e$ of the projection $G$ has type $(i, j)$ if $e$ is a common edge of the $i$-gonal and $j$-gonal faces of the projection $G$.

1. Projections $\left(4_{2}, 4_{3}\right)$ are inequivalent. Indeed, recall that the "straight ahead" rule determines a cycle composed of all edges of the projection. Only in $4_{3}$, the cycle is such that there are the same number of edges of type $(14,14)$ between two edges of type $(2,14)$.
2. Projections $\left(4_{4}, 4_{5}\right)$ are inequivalent, because there exists no bijective mapping between their Gauss codes: 12324143 and 12324134 , respectively.
3. Projections $\left(4_{9}, 4_{10}\right)$ are inequivalent, because only $4_{9}$ contains the edge of type $(6,6)$, while the type of each edge of $4_{10}$ is either $(10,10)$, or $(6,10)$.
4. Projections $\left(4_{12}, 4_{13}\right)$ are inequivalent, because only $4_{13}$ contains the edges that are common for the same 8 -gonal face, while all edges of $4_{12}$ are common for both 8 -gonal faces.

Note that all tabulated projections are prime by construction.
This completes the proof of both Lemma 8 and Theorem 1.
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# КЛАССИФИКАЦИЯ ПРИМАРНЫХ ПРОЕКЦИЙ УЗЛОВ В УТОЛЩЕННОМ ТОРЕ РОДА 2 С НЕ БОЛЕЕ ЧЕМ 4 ПЕРЕКРЕСТКАМИ¹ 

А.А. Акимова<br>Южно-Уральский государственный университет, г. Челябинск, Российская Федерация E-mail: akimovaaa@susu.ru

Мы начинаем классификацию примарных узлов в утолщенном торе рода 2, имеющих диаграммы с не более чем 4 перекрестками. Классификация проводится в два шага. На первом шаге строится таблица примарных проекций с не более чем 4 перекрестками. На втором шаге полученная таблица используется для построения таблицы примарных диаграмм, т.е. таблицы примарных узлов. В этой статье мы представляем результат первого шага, т.е. строим таблицу всех примарных проекций узлов в утолщенном торе рода 2 , имеющих не более 4 перекрестков. Таблица строится в три этапа. На первом этапе мы вводим определение примарной проекции узла в утолщенном торе рода 2. На втором этапе мы строим таблицу примарных проекций узлов в утолщенном торе рода 2 , имеющих не более 4 перекрестков. Для этого мы перечисляем графы специального вида и рассматриваем все возможные вложения этих графов в тор рода 2 , которые приводят к примарым проекциям. Здесь мы доказываем несколько вспомогательных утверждений, сокращающих перечисление таких вложений. Наконец, на третьем этапе, мы доказываем, что все полученные проекции неэквивалентны. Ряд известных и новых приемов позволил удержать процесс в разумных пределах и строго теоретически доказать полноту построенной таблицы.

Ключевые слова: примарная проекиция узел; утолщенный тор рода 2; таблица.
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